

#### MAY 12 – 16, 2024 | HAMBURG, GERMANY

Asynchronous Distributed Actor-based Approach to Jaccard Similarity for Genome Comparisons

Youssef Elmougy, Akihiro Hayashi, Vivek Sarkar

Habanero Extreme Scale Software Research Lab Georgia Institute of Technology

Corresponding Author: <a href="mailto:yelmougy3@gatech.edu">yelmougy3@gatech.edu</a>



## Importance of Genome Similarity and Genetic Distances

#### **Computational Biology and Comparative Genomics**



Assemblers Metagenomic Profiling Clustering Retrieval of Sequencing Samples





## **Importance of Genome Similarity and Genetic Distances**

#### **Computational Biology and Comparative Genomics**



Assemblers Metagenomic Profiling Clustering Retrieval of Sequencing Samples Computing the genome similarity among two DNA sequencing data sets is assessed by computing their **Jaccard Similarity**,  $\mathcal{J}(X, Y) = \frac{|X \cap Y|}{|X \cup Y|}$ 





...TTTAGCCA...

...ACTAGCGA...





## **Importance of Genome Similarity and Genetic Distances**

#### **Computational Biology and Comparative Genomics**



Assemblers Metagenomic Profiling Clustering Retrieval of Sequencing Samples





# **Current Approaches are NOT Efficient for <u>Scalable</u> and <u>Distributed</u> Computation**

#### **Prior/Current Approaches:**

#### Sequential or Single Server

All-Pairs<sup>[21]</sup> Parallel Cell/B.E.<sup>[23]</sup>

Jaccard-PageRank<sup>[22]</sup>

#### MapReduce Implementation

Wikipedia3-Stage Approach forSimilarity TeamSet-Similarity Joins

#### Implementations for Computational Biology and Comparative Genomics







#### **Prior/Current Approaches:**

#### Sequential or Single Server

All-Pairs<sup>[21]</sup> Parallel Cell/B.E.<sup>[23]</sup>

 $Jaccard-PageRank \ensuremath{^{[22]}}$ 

#### MapReduce Implementation

Wikipedia3-Stage Approach forSimilarity Team[24]Set-Similarity Joins[25]

#### Implementations for Computational Biology and Comparative Genomics



Continuous growth of sequencing datasets and increase in number of genomes



#### Inherently a computationally challenging problem





## Current Approaches are NOT Efficient for Scalable and Distributed Computation

#### **Prior/Current Approaches:**

#### Sequential or Single Server

All-Pairs<sup>[21]</sup> Parallel Cell/B.E.<sup>[23]</sup>

 $Jaccard-PageRank \ensuremath{^{[22]}}$ 

#### MapReduce Implementation

Wikipedia3-Stage Approach forSimilarity TeamSet-Similarity Joins

#### Implementations for Computational Biology and Comparative Genomics



Continuous growth of sequencing datasets and increase in number of genomes



#### Inherently a computationally challenging problem

This motivates the need for an *efficient, fast, and scalable solution* that can *process datasets of growing magnitudes* in *different domains*!





## Our Main Contributions







Distributed, Scalable, and Asynchronous Algorithm for Computing  $\mathcal{J}$  and  $d_{\mathcal{J}}$ 

based on the Actor-based Programming system

## Our Main Contributions





# Distributed, Scalable, and Asynchronous Algorithm for Computing $\mathcal J$ and $d_{\mathcal J}$

based on the Actor-based Programming system

Our Main Contributions Apply Algorithm to High-Scale Computations of Jaccard Similarity for Genome Comparisons and Genetic Distances

achieved 4.94× performance compared to SOTA





# Distributed, Scalable, and Asynchronous Algorithm for Computing $\mathcal J$ and $d_{\mathcal J}$

based on the Actor-based Programming system

Apply Algorithm to High-Scale Computations of Jaccard Similarity for Genome Comparisons and Genetic Distances

achieved 4.94× performance compared to SOTA

#### Perform a Deep Dive HWPC Study to Realize Performance Benefits

achieved 3.6× and 5.5× performance for execution time and HWPC results compared to SOTA



## Our Main Contributions



## **Jaccard Similarity Calculation**





## **Jaccard Similarity Calculation**

**Jaccard Similarity**,  $\mathcal{J}(X, Y)$ , is an operation that computes the overlap of two data sets X and Y by calculating the ratio between the *cardinality of their set intersection* and the *cardinality of their set union*.

$$\mathcal{J}(X,Y) = \frac{|X \cap Y|}{|X \cup Y|} = \frac{|X \cap Y|}{|X| + |Y| - |X \cap Y|}$$





## **Jaccard Similarity Calculation**

**Jaccard Similarity**,  $\mathcal{J}(X, Y)$ , is an operation that computes the overlap of two data sets X and Y by calculating the ratio between the *cardinality of their set intersection* and the *cardinality of their set union*.

$$\mathcal{J}(X,Y) = \frac{|X \cap Y|}{|X \cup Y|} = \frac{|X \cap Y|}{|X|+|Y|-|X \cap Y|}$$

**Jaccard Distance**,  $d_{\mathcal{J}}$ , calculates the dissimilarity between sets.

$$d_{\mathcal{J}}(X,Y) = 1 - \mathcal{J}(X,Y)$$

If both sets are empty or contain the exact same elements, then  $\mathcal{J}(X, Y) = 1$  and  $d_{\mathcal{J}} = 0$ .



## ISC High Performance

## **Jaccard Similarity Calculation**

**Jaccard Similarity**,  $\mathcal{J}(X, Y)$ , is an operation that computes the overlap of two data sets X and Y by calculating the ratio between the *cardinality of their set intersection* and the *cardinality of their set union*.

$$\mathcal{J}(X,Y) = \frac{|X \cap Y|}{|X \cup Y|} = \frac{|X \cap Y|}{|X|+|Y|-|X \cap Y|}$$

**Jaccard Distance**,  $d_{\mathcal{J}}$ , calculates the dissimilarity between sets.

$$d_{\mathcal{J}}(X,Y) = 1 - \mathcal{J}(X,Y)$$

If both sets are empty or contain the exact same elements, then  $\mathcal{J}(X, Y) = 1$  and  $d_{\mathcal{J}} = 0$ .

Genome-based Similarity Sco

Scope of this work

Graph-based Similarity



## High Performance

## **Jaccard Similarity Calculation**

**Jaccard Similarity**,  $\mathcal{J}(X, Y)$ , is an operation that computes the overlap of two data sets X and Y by calculating the ratio between the *cardinality of their set intersection* and the *cardinality of their set union*.

$$\mathcal{J}(X,Y) = \frac{|X \cap Y|}{|X \cup Y|} = \frac{|X \cap Y|}{|X| + |Y| - |X \cap Y|}$$

**Jaccard Distance**,  $d_{\mathcal{J}}$ , calculates the dissimilarity between sets.

$$d_{\mathcal{J}}(X,Y) = 1 - \mathcal{J}(X,Y)$$

If both sets are empty or contain the exact same elements, then  $\mathcal{J}(X, Y) = 1$  and  $d_{\mathcal{J}} = 0$ .

Genome-based Similarity

Scope of this work

#### Graph-based Similarity

Rep. similarity of the neighborhoods of two vertices connected by an edge

$$\mathcal{J}_{u,v} = \frac{|U \cap V|}{|U \cup V|} = \frac{\gamma_{u,v}}{d_u + d_v - \gamma_{u,v}} , \forall (u,v) \in G$$



## High Performance

## **Jaccard Similarity Calculation**

**Jaccard Similarity**,  $\mathcal{J}(X, Y)$ , is an operation that computes the overlap of two data sets X and Y by calculating the ratio between the *cardinality of their set intersection* and the *cardinality of their set union*.

$$\mathcal{J}(X,Y) = \frac{|X \cap Y|}{|X \cup Y|} = \frac{|X \cap Y|}{|X|+|Y|-|X \cap Y|}$$

**Jaccard Distance**,  $d_{\mathcal{J}}$ , calculates the dissimilarity between sets.

$$d_{\mathcal{J}}(X,Y) = 1 - \mathcal{J}(X,Y)$$

If both sets are empty or contain the exact same elements, then  $\mathcal{J}(X, Y) = 1$  and  $d_{\mathcal{J}} = 0$ .

Genome-based Similarity

Scope of this work

Rep. similarity between all pairs of multisets with respect to the fraction of k-mers shared between them



## State-of-the-Art Similarity Algorithm: GenomeAtScale [10]

The SOTA algorithm leverages the distributed memory numerical library *Cyclops Tensor Framework* (CTF) which provides routines for SpMM and SpMV (the main approach of the SOTA).

**Data Samples**:  $X = \{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$ 

**Indicator Matrix**:  $A \in \mathbb{B}^{m \times n}$   $a_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 : i \in X_j \\ 0 : otherwise \end{cases}$ 

**Similarity Matrix**:  $S \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$   $s_{ij} = \mathcal{J}(X_i, X_j) = \frac{b_{ij}}{c_{ij}}$ 

where  $\boldsymbol{B}, \boldsymbol{C} \in \mathbb{N}^{n \times n}$ 

$$|X_i \cap X_j| \Longrightarrow b_{ij} = \sum_k a_{ki} a_{kj} \Longrightarrow \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A}$$

$$|X_i \cup X_j| \Longrightarrow c_{ij} = \sum_k a_{ki} + \sum_k a_{kj} - b_{ij}$$

[10] M. Besta, R. Kanakagiri, H. Mustafa, M. Karasikov, G. Rätsch, T. Hoefler, and E. Solomonik, "Communication-Efficient Jaccard Similarity for High-Performance Distributed Genome Comparisons," in 2020 IEEE International Parallel and Distributed Processing Symposium (IPDPS), 2020, pp. 1122–1132.



# State-of-the-Art Similarity Algorithm: GenomeAtScale [10]

The SOTA algorithm leverages the distributed memory numerical library *Cyclops Tensor Framework* (CTF) which provides routines for SpMM and SpMV (the main approach of the SOTA).

**Data Samples**:  $X = \{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$ **Indicator Matrix**:  $A \in \mathbb{B}^{m \times n}$   $a_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 : i \in X_j \\ 0 : otherwise \end{cases}$ Similarity Matrix:  $S \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$   $s_{ij} = \mathcal{J}(X_i, X_j) = \frac{b_{ij}}{c_{ij}}$ where **B**.  $C \in \mathbb{N}^{n \times n}$  $|X_i \cap X_j| \Longrightarrow b_{ij} = \sum_{i} a_{ki} a_{kj} \Longrightarrow \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A}$  $|X_i \cup X_j| \Longrightarrow c_{ij} = \sum_{i} a_{ki} + \sum_{i} a_{kj} - b_{ij}$ 

**GenomeAtScale algorithm steps to calculate** *S*:



## State-of-the-Art Similarity Algorithm: GenomeAtScale [10]

The SOTA algorithm leverages the distributed memory numerical library *Cyclops Tensor Framework* (CTF) which provides routines for SpMM and SpMV (the main approach of the SOTA).

**Data Samples**:  $X = \{X_1, ..., X_n\}$ 

**Indicator Matrix**:  $A \in \mathbb{B}^{m \times n}$   $a_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 : i \in X_j \\ 0 : otherwise \end{cases}$ 

Similarity Matrix: 
$$S \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$$
  $s_{ij} = \mathcal{J}(X_i, X_j) = \frac{b_{ij}}{c_{ij}}$ 

where  $\boldsymbol{B}, \boldsymbol{C} \in \mathbb{N}^{n \times n}$ 

$$|X_i \cap X_j| \Longrightarrow b_{ij} = \sum_k a_{ki} a_{kj} \Longrightarrow \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A}$$

$$|X_i \cup X_j| \Longrightarrow c_{ij} = \sum_k a_{ki} + \sum_k a_{kj} - b_{ij}$$

#### GenomeAtScale algorithm steps to calculate *S*:

(1) Divide *A*'s rows into batches with  $\hat{m}$  rows and loop through each batch;

## State-of-the-Art Similarity Algorithm: GenomeAtScale [10]

The SOTA algorithm leverages the distributed memory numerical library *Cyclops Tensor Framework* (CTF) which provides routines for SpMM and SpMV (the main approach of the SOTA).

**Data Samples**:  $X = \{X_1, ..., X_n\}$ 

**Indicator Matrix**:  $A \in \mathbb{B}^{m \times n}$   $a_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 : i \in X_j \\ 0 : otherwise \end{cases}$ 

Similarity Matrix: 
$$S \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$$
  $s_{ij} = \mathcal{J}(X_i, X_j) = \frac{b_{ij}}{c_{ij}}$ 

where  $\boldsymbol{B}, \boldsymbol{C} \in \mathbb{N}^{n \times n}$ 

$$|X_i \cap X_j| \Longrightarrow b_{ij} = \sum_k a_{ki} a_{kj} \Longrightarrow \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A}$$

$$|X_i \cup X_j| \Longrightarrow c_{ij} = \sum_k a_{ki} + \sum_k a_{kj} - b_{ij}$$

#### **GenomeAtScale algorithm steps to calculate** *S*:

(1) Divide *A*'s rows into batches with  $\hat{m}$  rows and loop through each batch;

(2) Remove zero rows within the batch using a distributed sparse vector;



## State-of-the-Art Similarity Algorithm: GenomeAtScale [10]

The SOTA algorithm leverages the distributed memory numerical library *Cyclops Tensor Framework* (CTF) which provides routines for SpMM and SpMV (the main approach of the SOTA).

**Data Samples**:  $X = \{X_1, ..., X_n\}$ 

**Indicator Matrix**:  $A \in \mathbb{B}^{m \times n}$   $a_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 : i \in X_j \\ 0 : otherwise \end{cases}$ 

Similarity Matrix: 
$$S \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$$
  $s_{ij} = \mathcal{J}(X_i, X_j) = \frac{b_{ij}}{c_{ij}}$ 

where  $\boldsymbol{B}, \boldsymbol{C} \in \mathbb{N}^{n \times n}$ 

$$|X_i \cap X_j| \Longrightarrow b_{ij} = \sum_k a_{ki} a_{kj} \Longrightarrow \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A}$$

$$|X_i \cup X_j| \Longrightarrow c_{ij} = \sum_k a_{ki} + \sum_k a_{kj} - b_{ij}$$

#### **GenomeAtScale algorithm steps to calculate** *S*:

(1) Divide *A*'s rows into batches with  $\hat{m}$  rows and loop through each batch;

(2) Remove zero rows within the batch using a distributed sparse vector;

(3) Compress row segments with bitmasking;



## State-of-the-Art Similarity Algorithm: GenomeAtScale [10]

The SOTA algorithm leverages the distributed memory numerical library *Cyclops Tensor Framework* (CTF) which provides routines for SpMM and SpMV (the main approach of the SOTA).

**Data Samples**:  $X = \{X_1, ..., X_n\}$ 

**Indicator Matrix**:  $A \in \mathbb{B}^{m \times n}$   $a_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 : i \in X_j \\ 0 : otherwise \end{cases}$ 

Similarity Matrix: 
$$S \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$$
  $s_{ij} = \mathcal{J}(X_i, X_j) = \frac{b_{ij}}{c_{ij}}$ 

where  $B, C \in \mathbb{N}^{n \times n}$ 

$$|X_i \cap X_j| \Longrightarrow b_{ij} = \sum_k a_{ki} a_{kj} \Longrightarrow \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A}$$

$$|X_i \cup X_j| \Longrightarrow c_{ij} = \sum_k a_{ki} + \sum_k a_{kj} - b_{ij}$$

#### **GenomeAtScale algorithm steps to calculate** *S*:

(1) Divide *A*'s rows into batches with  $\hat{m}$  rows and loop through each batch;

(2) Remove zero rows within the batch using a distributed sparse vector;

(3) Compress row segments with bitmasking;

(4) Compute and accumulate partial scores into *B* and *C* matrices;



## State-of-the-Art Similarity Algorithm: GenomeAtScale [10]

The SOTA algorithm leverages the distributed memory numerical library *Cyclops Tensor Framework* (CTF) which provides routines for SpMM and SpMV (the main approach of the SOTA).

**Data Samples**:  $X = \{X_1, ..., X_n\}$ 

**Indicator Matrix**:  $A \in \mathbb{B}^{m \times n}$   $a_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 : i \in X_j \\ 0 : otherwise \end{cases}$ 

Similarity Matrix: 
$$S \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$$
  $s_{ij} = \mathcal{J}(X_i, X_j) = \frac{b_{ij}}{c_{ij}}$ 

where  $B, C \in \mathbb{N}^{n \times n}$ 

$$|X_i \cap X_j| \Longrightarrow b_{ij} = \sum_k a_{ki} a_{kj} \Longrightarrow \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A}$$

$$|X_i \cup X_j| \Longrightarrow c_{ij} = \sum_k a_{ki} + \sum_k a_{kj} - b_{ij}$$

#### **GenomeAtScale algorithm steps to calculate** *S*:

(1) Divide *A*'s rows into batches with  $\hat{m}$  rows and loop through each batch;

(2) Remove zero rows within the batch using a distributed sparse vector;

(3) Compress row segments with bitmasking;

(4) Compute and accumulate partial scores into *B* and *C* matrices;

(5) Derive the final similarity scores *S* based on *B* and *C* matrices.



## **Actor-Based Programming System: Backend of Our Approach**

Sample Graph





**Execution Model** PE1 PE2 PE3 PE4 PE5 Updated Local Extended Barrier

FA-BSP

#### Move compute to data via asynchronous active messages

- Presents a lightweight, asynchronous computation model
- Utilizes fine-grained asynchronous actor messages to express point-to-point remote operations
- Treats actors as primitives of computation, where actors are inherently isolated and share no mutable state
- Actors process messages sequentially within its mailbox, thereby avoiding data races and synchronization
- The unit of computation (PE or Actor) has a one-to-one relation to a physical CPU core

NOTE: "Actor" and "Selector" will be used interchangeably



[28] "Hclib-actor documentation," https://hclib-actor.com, 2022. [31] S. R. Paul, A. Hayashi, K. Chen, Y. Elmougy, and V. Sarkar, "A Fine-grained Asynchronous Bulk Synchronous Parallelism Model for PGAS Applications," Journal of Computational Science, vol. 69, p. 102014, 2023. Active



Our approach is the first solution that employs a novel distributed and asynchronous algorithm resulting in just **two computation supersteps** compared to synchronous BSP-based approaches utilizing many barriers.



Our approach is the first solution that employs a novel distributed and asynchronous algorithm resulting in just **two computation supersteps** compared to synchronous BSP-based approaches utilizing many barriers.

1 /\* Input: (shared) transposed (n x m) matrix A in CSR format with offsets stored in A->offset and nonzeros stored in A->nonzero

- 2 \* Output: (shared) (n x n) matrix J with jaccard similarities \*/
- 3 4 /\* Utility functions
- 5 \* my\_pe() : returns PE number of calling PE
- 6 \* n\_pes() : returns number of PEs running in the application
- 7 \* get\_remote\_pe(x) : returns owner PE for element x
- 8 \* get\_local\_index(x) : returns local index in shared array for element x
- 9 \* get\_global\_id(x) : returns global ID for element x
- 10 \* binary\_search(arr[:], x) : returns array index for element x if found
- 11 \* barrier() : blocks until async local/remote ops are completed on all PEs
- 12 \* d(x): returns degree of element x (number of nonzeros)
- 13 \*/ 14

- 15 // initialization and start of the Selector instance
  16 Selector<1> jac\_selector;
- 17
- 18 int sender\_PE = my\_pe();
- 19 int n\_local\_rows =  $n / n_{pes}()$ ;
- 20 for (int v = 0;  $v < n_local_rows$ ; v++) { // loop through locally stored rows
- 21 **int** v\_global = get\_global\_id(v); // get global ID for v (local operation)
- 22 // get offset indices for the row
- 23 for (int  $k = A \rightarrow offset[v]$ ;  $k < A \rightarrow offset[v+1]$ ; k++) {
  - int u = A->nonzero[k]; // get nonzero using offset index (local operation)
- 25 /\* calculate intersection, the loop in L30 & L48 is application-dependant:
- 26 \* for general jaccard measures, the nonzeros of v are looped through
  - $for(int \ kk = A > offset[index]; \ kk < A > offset[index+1]; \ kk++) \{...\}$
- *\* for genome-based similarity, all pairs of samples are looped through for(int next\_sample = v\_id; next\_sample < n; next\_sample++)*{...} \*/
- 30 for (int  $kk = A \rightarrow offset[v]$ ;  $kk < A \rightarrow offset[v+1]$ ; kk++) {
- 31 int v\_nonzero = A->nonzero[kk]; if (v\_nonzero == u) continue; 32 int remote\_PE = get\_remote\_pe(u);
  - // asynchronous msg sent to loop through shared array on remote\_PE
    jac\_selector.send(0, remote\_PE, [=]() {
- jac\_selector.send(0, remote\_PE, [=
   if (binary search(A->nonzero[A

  - // found common element, update local counter
  - intersection[get\_local\_index(v\_global), get\_local\_index(u)]++;

```
40 j
41
```

24

27

33

36

37

38

42 // automatic termination of the Selector instance

- 43 **jac\_selector.done(0)**; // the mailbox will be terminated through the runtime's automatic termination protocol after guaranteeing all its messages have been received and executed
- 44 barrier(); // FA-BSP model, ensure all async messages have been executed before all PEs move forward
- 45



46 // calculate jaccard similarity J

- 47 for (int v = 0;  $v < n_local_rows$ ; v++) {
- 48 // get offset indices for the row
- 49 for (int  $k = A \rightarrow offset[v]$ ;  $k < A \rightarrow offset[v+1]$ ; k++) {
- 50 int u = A->nonzero[k]; // get nonzero using offset index (local operation)
- 51 // J = intersection / (d(x) + d(y) intersection)
- 52 J[v,u] = intersection[v,u] / (d(v) + d(u) intersection[v,u]);
- 53 } }

#### **SUPERSTEP 2**



REINVENTING

High Performance

•I•ISC

15 // initialization and start of the Selector instance

16 Selector<1> jac\_selector;

17

Our approach is the first solution that employs a novel distributed and asynchronous algorithm resulting in just **two computation supersteps** compared to synchronous BSP-based approaches utilizing many barriers.

#### Iterate through nonzero entries in locally stored rows

- /\* Input: (shared) transposed (n x m) matrix A in CSR format with offsets stored in A->offset and nonzeros stored in A->nonzero
   \* Output: (shared) (n x n) matrix J with jaccard similarities \*/
   /\* Utility functions
   \* my\_pe() : returns PE number of calling PE
   \* n\_pes() : returns PE number of PEs running in the application
   \* get\_remote\_pe(x) : returns owner PE for element x
   \* get\_cal\_index(x) : returns local index in shared array for element x
   \* get\_global\_id(x) : returns global ID for element x
- \* binary\_search(arr[:], x) : returns array index for element x if found
- 11 \* barrier() : blocks until async local/remote ops are completed on all PEs
- 12 \* d(x): returns degree of element x (number of nonzeros)
- 13 \*/
- 14

```
18 int sender_PE = my_pe();
19 int n_local_rows = n / n pes():
20 for (int v = 0; v < n_local_rows; v++) { // loop through locally stored rows
    int v_global = get_global_id(v); // get global ID for v (local operation)
21
     // get offset indices for the row
22
     for (int k = A \rightarrow offset[v]; k < A \rightarrow offset[v+1]; k++) {
23
       int u = A->nonzero[k]; // get nonzero using offset index (local operation)
24
       /* calculate intersection, the loop in L30 & L48 is application-dependant:
25
         * for general jaccard measures, the nonzeros of v are looped through
26
              for(int kk = A - > offset[index]; kk < A - > offset[index+1]; kk++) {...}
27
         * for genome-based similarity, all pairs of samples are looped through
28
              for(int next_sample = v_id; next_sample < n; next_sample++)\{...\} */
29
       for (int kk = A \rightarrow offset[v]; kk < A \rightarrow offset[v+1]; kk++) {
30
          int v_nonzero = A->nonzero[kk]; if (v_nonzero == u) continue;
31
32
          int remote PE = get remote pe(u);
          // asynchronous msg sent to loop through shared array on remote_PE
33
          jac_selector.send(0, remote_PE, [=]() {
34
35
           if (binary_search(A->nonzero[A->offset[get_local_index(u)] :
36
                             A->offset[get_local_index(u)+1]], v_nonzero))
37
                // found common element, update local counter
38
                intersection[get_local_index(v_global), get_local_index(u)]++;
          });
39
40 } } }
41
42 // automatic termination of the Selector instance
43 jac_selector.done(0); // the mailbox will be terminated through the runtime's
          automatic termination protocol after guaranteeing all its messages have
          been received and executed
44 barrier(); // FA-BSP model, ensure all async messages have been executed before
           all PEs move forward
```

45



46 // calculate jaccard similarity J

- 47 for (int v = 0;  $v < n_local_rows$ ; v++) {
- 48 // get offset indices for the row
- 49 for (int  $k = A \rightarrow offset[v]$ ;  $k < A \rightarrow offset[v+1]$ ; k++) {
- 50 int u = A->nonzero[k]; // get nonzero using offset index (local operation)
- 51 // J = intersection / (d(x) + d(y) intersection)
- 52 J[v,u] = intersection[v,u] / (d(v) + d(u) intersection[v,u]);
- 53 } }

#### **SUPERSTEP 2**



REINVENTING

High Performance

•I·ISC

15 // initialization and start of the Selector instance

16 Selector<1> jac\_selector;

Our approach is the first solution that employs a novel distributed and asynchronous algorithm resulting in just **two computation supersteps** compared to synchronous BSP-based approaches utilizing many barriers.

#### Iterate through nonzero entries in locally stored rows

- 1 /\* Input: (shared) transposed (n x m) matrix A in CSR format with offsets stored in A->offset and nonzeros stored in A->nonzero 2 \* Output: (shared) (n x n) matrix J with jaccard similarities \*/ 3 4 /\* Utility functions \* my\_pe() : returns PE number of calling PE 5 \* n\_pes() : returns number of PEs running in the application \* get\_remote\_pe(x) : returns owner PE for element x \* get local index(x) : returns local index in shared array for element x \* get\_global\_id(x) : returns global ID for element x \* binary\_search(arr[:], x) : returns array index for element x if found 10 \* barrier() : blocks until async local/remote ops are completed on all PEs 11 \* d(x): returns degree of element x (number of nonzeros) 12 13 \*/
- 13 × 14

- Calculate the intersection of local elements and each nonzero within their data samples
- 17 18 int sender\_PE =  $my_pe()$ ; 19 int n\_local\_rows =  $n / n_{pes}()$ ; 20 for (int v = 0;  $v < n_local_rows$ ; v++) { // loop through locally stored rows **int** v\_global = get\_global\_id(v); // get global ID for v (local operation) 21 // get offset indices for the row 22 for (int  $k = A \rightarrow offset[v]$ ;  $k < A \rightarrow offset[v+1]$ ; k++) { 23 int u = A->nonzero[k]; // get nonzero using offset index (local operation) 24 /\* calculate intersection, the loop in L30 & L48 is application-dependant: 25 \* for general jaccard measures, the nonzeros of v are looped through 26 for(int  $kk = A - > offset[index]; kk < A - > offset[index+1]; kk++) {...}$ 27 \* for genome-based similarity, all pairs of samples are looped through 28  $for(int next_sample = v_id; next_sample < n; next_sample++)\{...\} */$ 29 30 for (int  $kk = A \rightarrow offset[v]$ ;  $kk < A \rightarrow offset[v+1]$ ; kk++) { int v\_nonzero = A->nonzero[kk]; if (v\_nonzero == u) continue; 31 32 int remote PE = get remote pe(u);// asynchronous msg sent to loop through shared array on remote\_PE 33 jac\_selector.send(0, remote\_PE, [=]() { 34 35 if (binary\_search(A->nonzero[A->offset[get\_local\_index(u)] : 36 A->offset[get\_local\_index(u)+1]], v\_nonzero)) 37 // found common element, update local counter intersection[get\_local\_index(v\_global), get\_local\_index(u)]++; 38 39 }); 40 } } 41 42 // automatic termination of the Selector instance
  - 43 **jac\_selector.done(0)**; // the mailbox will be terminated through the runtime's automatic termination protocol after guaranteeing all its messages have been received and executed
  - 44 barrier(); // FA-BSP model, ensure all async messages have been executed before all PEs move forward

```
45
```



- 46 // calculate jaccard similarity J
- 47 **for** (**int** v = 0; v < n\_local\_rows; v++) {
- 48 // get offset indices for the row
- 49 for (int  $k = A \rightarrow offset[v]$ ;  $k < A \rightarrow offset[v+1]$ ; k++) {
- 50 int u = A->nonzero[k]; // get nonzero using offset index (local operation)
- 51 // J = intersection / (d(x) + d(y) intersection)
- 52 J[v,u] = intersection[v,u] / (d(v) + d(u) intersection[v,u]);
- 53 } }

#### **SUPERSTEP 2**



REINVENTING

HPC

High Performance

•ISC

15 // initialization and start of the Selector instance

Our approach is the first solution that employs a novel distributed and asynchronous algorithm resulting in just **two computation supersteps** compared to synchronous BSP-based approaches utilizing many barriers.

#### Iterate through nonzero entries in locally stored rows

 /\* Input: (shared) transposed (n x m) matrix A in CSR format with offsets stored in A->offset and nonzeros stored in A->nonzero
 \* Output: (shared) (n x n) matrix J with jaccard similarities \*/

Async. message sent to the owner PE to access its data sample and check for elementwise similarity using binary search; if intersection is found, counter is incremented

Calculate the intersection of local elements and each nonzero within their data samples

- 16 Selector<1> jac\_selector; 17 18 int sender\_PE =  $my_pe()$ ; 19 int n\_local\_rows =  $n / n_{pes}()$ ; 20 for (int v = 0;  $v < n_local_rows$ ; v++) { // loop through locally stored rows **int** v\_global = get\_global\_id(v); // get global ID for v (local operation) 21 // get offset indices for the row 22 for (int  $k = A \rightarrow offset[v]$ ;  $k < A \rightarrow offset[v+1]$ ; k++) { 23 int u = A->nonzero[k]; // get nonzero using offset index (local operation) 24 /\* calculate intersection, the loop in L30 & L48 is application-dependant: 25 \* for general jaccard measures, the nonzeros of v are looped through 26 for(int  $kk = A - > offset[index]; kk < A - > offset[index+1]; kk++) {...}$ 27 \* for genome-based similarity, all pairs of samples are looped through 28  $for(int next_sample = v_id; next_sample < n; next_sample++)\{...\} */$ 29 30 for (int  $kk = A \rightarrow offset[v]$ ;  $kk < A \rightarrow offset[v+1]$ ; kk++) { 31 int v\_nonzero = A->nonzero[kk]; if (v\_nonzero == u) continue; 32 int remote PE = get remote pe(u);11 asynchronous mea sent to loop th 33 jac\_selector.send(0, remote\_PE, [=]() { 34 35 if (binary\_search(A->nonzero[A->offset[get\_local\_index(u)] : A->offset[get\_local\_index(u)+1]], v\_nonzero)) 37 // found common element, update local counter 38 intersection[get\_local\_index(v\_global), get\_local\_index(u)]++; 39 40 } } 42 // automatic termination of the Selector instance 43 jac\_selector.done(0); // the mailbox will be terminated through the runtime's automatic termination protocol after guaranteeing all its messages have been received and executed
- 44 barrier(); // FA-BSP model, ensure all async messages have been executed before all PEs move forward

```
45
```

SUPERSTEP 1

46 // calculate jaccard similarity J

- 47 for (int v = 0; v < n\_local\_rows; v++) {
- 48 // get offset indices for the row
- 49 **for** (int  $k = A -> offset[v]; k < A -> offset[v+1]; k++) {$
- 50 int u = A->nonzero[k]; // get nonzero using offset index (local operation)
- 51 // J = intersection / (d(x) + d(y) intersection)
- 52 J[v,u] = intersection[v,u] / (d(v) + d(u) intersection[v,u]);
- 53 } }

#### **SUPERSTEP 2**



• ISC

High Performance

15 // initialization and start of the Selector instance

Our approach is the first solution that employs a novel distributed and asynchronous algorithm resulting in just **two computation supersteps** compared to synchronous BSP-based approaches utilizing many barriers.

#### Iterate through nonzero entries in locally stored rows

 /\* Input: (shared) transposed (n x m) matrix A in CSR format with offsets stored in A->offset and nonzeros stored in A->nonzero
 \* Output: (shared) (n x n) matrix J with jaccard similarities \*/

Async. message sent to the owner PE to access its data sample and check for elementwise similarity using binary search; if intersection is found, counter is incremented

Calculate the intersection of local elements and each nonzero within their data samples

```
16 Selector<1> jac_selector;
  18 int sender_PE = my_pe();
  19 int n_local_rows = n / n_{pes}();
  20 for (int v = 0; v < n_local_rows; v++) { // loop through locally stored rows
       int v_global = get_global_id(v); // get global ID for v (local operation)
  21
       // get offset indices for the row
  22
       for (int k = A \rightarrow offset[v]; k < A \rightarrow offset[v+1]; k++) {
 23
         int u = A->nonzero[k]; // get nonzero using offset index (local operation)
 24
         /* calculate intersection, the loop in L30 & L48 is application-dependant:
 25
          * for general jaccard measures, the nonzeros of v are looped through
  26
                for(int kk = A - > offset[index]; kk < A - > offset[index+1]; kk++) {...}
 27
 28
          * for genome-based similarity, all pairs of samples are looped through
 29
                for(int next_sample = v_i; next_sample < n; next_sample++){...} */
30
         for (int kk = A \rightarrow offset[v]; kk < A \rightarrow offset[v+1]; kk++) {
 31
           int v_nonzero = A->nonzero[kk]; if (v_nonzero == u) continue;
 32
           int remote PE = get remote pe(u);
  33
           jac_selector.send(0, remote_PE, [=]() {
  34
  35
             if (binary_search(A->nonzero[A->offset[get_local_index(u)] :
                               A->offset[get_local_index(u)+1]], v_nonzero))
  37
                  // found common element, update local counter
  38
                  intersection[get_local_index(v_global), get_local_index(u)]++;
  39
40 } }
  42 // automatic termination of the Selector instance
  43 jac_selector.done(0); // the mailbox will be terminated through the runtime's
            automatic termination protocol after guaranteeing all its messages have
            been received and executed
  44 barrier(); // FA-BSP model, ensure all async messages have been executed before
             all PEs move forward
```

**SUPERSTEP** 

46 // calculate jaccard similarity J
47 for (int v = 0; v < n\_local\_rows; v++) {</li>
48 // get offset indices for the row

- 49 for (int  $k = A \rightarrow offset[v]$ ;  $k < A \rightarrow offset[v+1]$ ; k++) {
- 50 int u = A->nonzero[k]; // get nonzero using offset index (local operation)
- 51 // J = intersection / (d(x) + d(y) intersection)
- 52 J[v,u] = intersection[v,u] / (d(v) + d(u) intersection[v,u]);
- 53 } }

#### **SUPERSTEP 2**

Barrier ensures all async. messages have been processed

• ISC

High Performance



Our approach is the first solution that employs a novel distributed and asynchronous algorithm resulting in just **two computation supersteps** compared to synchronous BSP-based approaches utilizing many barriers.

#### Iterate through nonzero entries in locally stored rows

 /\* Input: (shared) transposed (n x m) matrix A in CSR format with offsets stored in A->offset and nonzeros stored in A->nonzero
 \* Output: (shared) (n x n) matrix J with jaccard similarities \*/

Async. message sent to the owner PE to access its data sample and check for elementwise similarity using binary search; if intersection is found, counter is incremented

Calculate the intersection of local elements and each nonzero within their data samples

#### 15 // initialization and start of the Selector instance 16 Selector<1> jac\_selector; 18 int sender\_PE = $my_pe()$ ; 19 int n\_local\_rows = $n / n_{pes}()$ ; 20 for (int v = 0; $v < n_local_rows$ ; v++) { // loop through locally stored rows **int** v\_global = get\_global\_id(v); // get global ID for v (local operation) 21 // get offset indices for the row 22 for (int $k = A \rightarrow offset[v]$ ; $k < A \rightarrow offset[v+1]$ ; k++) { 23 int u = A->nonzero[k]; // get nonzero using offset index (local operation) 24 /\* calculate intersection, the loop in L30 & L48 is application-dependant: 25 \* for general jaccard measures, the nonzeros of v are looped through 26 for(int $kk = A - > offset[index]; kk < A - > offset[index+1]; kk++) {...}$ 27 28 \* for genome-based similarity, all pairs of samples are looped through 29 for(int next\_sample = $v_i$ ; next\_sample < n; next\_sample++){...} \*/ 48 30 for (int $kk = A \rightarrow offset[v]$ ; $kk < A \rightarrow offset[v+1]$ ; kk++) { 49 31 int v\_nonzero = A->nonzero[kk]; if (v\_nonzero == u) continue; 50 32 int remote PE = get remote pe(u);51 33 52 jac\_selector.send(0, remote\_PE, [=]() { 34 53 } } 35 if (binary\_search(A->nonzero[A->offset[get\_local\_index(u)] : A->offset[get\_local\_index(u)+1]], v\_nonzero)) 37 // found common element, update local counter 38 intersection[get\_local\_index(v\_global), get\_local\_index(u)]++; 39 40 } } 42 // automatic termination of the Selector instance 43 jac\_selector.done(0); // the mailbox will be terminated through the runtime's automatic termination protocol after guaranteeing all its messages have been received and executed 44 barrier(); // FA-BSP model, ensure all async messages have been executed before all PEs move forward **SUPERSTEP**



#### **SUPERSTEP 2**

Barrier ensures all async. messages have been processed

• ISC



REINVENTING

HPC

High Performance

ISC



11



- Experiments conducted on the CPU nodes of the **Perlmutter supercomputer** at the National Energy Research Scientific Computing Center (NERSC)
  - 2x AMD EPYC 7763 (Milan) CPUs
  - 64 physical cores per CPU
  - 512 GB of DDR4 memory
  - 32KB L1 dcache, 32KB L1 icache, 512KB L2 cache, 2560 4K pages TLB per physical CPU core
  - 1x HPE Cray Slingshot Interconnect



- Experiments conducted on the CPU nodes of the **Perlmutter supercomputer** at the National Energy Research Scientific Computing Center (NERSC)
  - 2x AMD EPYC 7763 (Milan) CPUs
  - 64 physical cores per CPU
  - 512 GB of DDR4 memory
  - 32KB L1 dcache, 32KB L1 icache, 512KB L2 cache, 2560 4K pages TLB per physical CPU core
  - 1x HPE Cray Slingshot Interconnect
- Configuration: 32 PEs/node for our algorithm, 32 MPI processes/node for SOTA





- Experiments conducted on the CPU nodes of the **Perlmutter supercomputer** at the National Energy Research Scientific Computing Center (NERSC)
  - 2x AMD EPYC 7763 (Milan) CPUs
  - 64 physical cores per CPU
  - 512 GB of DDR4 memory
  - 32KB L1 dcache, 32KB L1 icache, 512KB L2 cache, 2560 4K pages TLB per physical CPU core
  - 1x HPE Cray Slingshot Interconnect
- Configuration: 32 PEs/node for our algorithm, 32 MPI processes/node for SOTA
- Evaluations:
  - Strong scaling experiments using a synthetic dataset of medium scale (scale=14) and extra-large scale (scale=25, larger than SOTA)
  - Weak scaling experiments using a synthetic dataset of scale=12 to =20
  - Strong scaling experiments using two real world datasets (E. coli genome and

SARS CoV2 genome)







The increased performance can be attributed to the exploitation of asynchronous communication/computation and actor-level parallelism



## **Performance Analysis: Sensitivity to Batches**



Batch size has a direct correlation with the amount of communication and hence execution time

Larger number of batches means that per-core slices of the computation matrix will be lower, increasing remote communication among cores of different locals

Our algorithm scales efficiently and performs better than the SOTA by 3.6x due to its async. execution and the underlying FA-BSP model





## **Performance Analysis: Scalability on Synthetic Data**



The SOTA approach is unable to process datasets of large scale without memory issues, using a min. of 512 nodes (16K cores) to process the scale=20 dataset while our approach can process and evaluate the scale=25 dataset using only a min. of 1 node (32 cores)



## **Performance Analysis: Scalability on Real Data**



At the highest node count (512), we achieve a 42.8x and 13.8x speedup relative to the smallest node count (4) respectively for both genomes (11.4x better performance compared to SOTA for SARS CoV2 genome)





# Why do we achieve this performance increase?





## **Hardware Metrics Evaluation**

We use the PAPI library (v7.0.0.1) and the perf tool (v5.14.21) as part of the CrayPat performance analysis tool to access these performance counters.

	Abr.	Counter	Description
L1 data cache	L1DA	PAPI_L1_DCA	L1 data cache accesses
	L1DM	PAPI_L1_DCM	L1 data cache misses
L1 instruction cache	L1IA	perf::PERF_COUNT_HW_CACHE_L1I:ACCESS	L1 instruction cache accesses
	L1IM	perf::PERF_COUNT_HW_CACHE_L1I:MISS	L1 instruction cache misses
L2 data cache	L2DR	PAPI_L2_DCR	L2 data cache reads
	L2DM	PAPI_L2_DCM	L2 data cache misses
L2 instruction cache	L2IR	PAPI_L2_ICR	L2 instruction cache reads
	L2IM	PAPI_L2_ICM	L2 instruction cache misses
TLBs	TLBDM	PAPI_TLB_DM	Data translation lookaside buffer misses
	TLBIM	PAPI_TLB_IM	Instruction translation lookaside buffer misses
Branches	BRINS	PAPI_BR_INS	Branch instructions
	BRMSP	PAPI_BR_MSP	Conditional branch instructions mispredicted
Network Messages	MSGCNT	MSG_COUNT	Number of point-to-point communication across PEs
	MSGBYT	MSG_BYTES	Size (bytes) of point-to-point communication across PEs
Cycles	CYC	PAPI_TOT_CYC	Total cycles
Instructions	INS	PAPI_TOT_INS	Instructions completed

Experiments: Strong Scaling using Synthetic Dataset (scale=14)



## Performance Analysis

All numbers are per-core averages. Lower is better.





Georgia Tech



## **Performance Analysis: Execution Time, INS, CYC**



Georgia



## **Performance Analysis: Load Imbalance**



Workload per core for a 512 core experiment.

Relative Load Imbalance (RLI) measures the relative difference in workload among processing units

Our approach outperforms the SOTA by 592x in terms of RLI



## **Performance Analysis: Network Messages**

5M

(c) L1IA

(b) L1DM

The increased performance can be attributed to:

4Mm

(a) L1DA

1.6B

(1) Our algorithm executes in only *two computation phases* (only 2 collective calls, compared to 98% of network messages in MPI\_Alltoall() for SOTA)

(2) Our algorithm takes advantage of fine-grained message aggregation, allowing a significant reduction to network traffic and message counts



L1IM

(d)

20K

TLBDM

(e)

0.5M

TLBIM

128

BRMSP



## **Performance Analysis: L1,L2 Caches**



22

Georgia Tech



## **Performance Analysis: L1,L2 Caches**



Georgia



## **Performance Analysis: L1,L2 Caches**



(e) TLBDM

(k

Exec. Time

1K

(a)

C: 64

These effects can be attributed to:

TLBIM

(1) The reusing of the same remote message handler code across all memory requests

(2) The simplicity (in terms of binary file code size) of our user code and backend runtime system as compared to the SOTA CTF-based backend and external libraries

The increased performance can be attributed to the data distribution mechanisms (and both preprocessing steps) in our algorithm, increasing the possibility of reusing data stored within the same PE

↑ 8.7x for L1 dcache 2.5x for L2 dcache

![](_page_49_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Figure_10.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Figure_11.jpeg)

1.7x for L1 icache

Georgia

![](_page_50_Picture_0.jpeg)

## **Performance Analysis: TLB**

![](_page_50_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### Network bandwidth is increased in our algorithm due to reduced frequency of TLB misses

![](_page_50_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Picture_0.jpeg)

## **Performance Analysis: Branches**

![](_page_51_Figure_2.jpeg)

Our algorithm consistently decreases the cycle penalty incurred from mispredictions at a higher magnitude due to the simple (predictable) pattern among the majority of branch instructions

![](_page_51_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Picture_0.jpeg)

## **Performance Analysis: Collectives Invoked**

![](_page_52_Figure_2.jpeg)

Our algorithm invokes only two barriers throughout the full execution (two superstep execution process)

![](_page_52_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Picture_0.jpeg)

## **Algorithm Optimizations**

#### **Row compression using bitmasking**

Reduce the overhead of storing nonzeros by storing a sequence as a binary value which takes only one bit of data compared to a 32-/64-bit integer nonzero.

#### **Matrix distribution**

Reduce the need for remote atomics by distributing data in a communication-aware approach, this can be achieved by exploring other distribution strategies such as circular hash or cyclic distribution.

#### Message buffer size

A small amount of messages with a large message size sent across the execution of the application can be attributed to a large message buffer size, although there may be more optimal configurations.

#### **GPU** acceleration

Accelerate performance of large-scale datasets by accelerating execution of fine-grained computations.

![](_page_53_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Picture_0.jpeg)

## Conclusions

Georgia Tech

![](_page_55_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Distributed, Scalable, and Asynchronous Algorithm for Computing $\mathcal{J}$ and $d_{\mathcal{J}}$

based on the Actor-based Programming system

### Conclusions

![](_page_55_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_56_Picture_0.jpeg)

Distributed, Scalable, and Asynchronous Algorithm for Computing  $\mathcal J$  and  $d_{\mathcal J}$ 

based on the Actor-based Programming system

Apply Algorithm to High-Scale Computations of Jaccard Similarity for Genome Comparisons and Genetic Distances

achieved 4.94× performance compared to SOTA

![](_page_56_Picture_5.jpeg)

## Conclusions

![](_page_57_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Distributed, Scalable, and Asynchronous Algorithm for Computing $\mathcal J$ and $d_{\mathcal J}$

based on the Actor-based Programming system

Apply Algorithm to High-Scale Computations of Jaccard Similarity for Genome Comparisons and Genetic Distances

achieved 4.94× performance compared to SOTA

#### Perform a Deep Dive HWPC Study to Realize Performance Benefits

achieved 3.6× and 5.5× performance for execution time and HWPC results compared to SOTA

![](_page_57_Picture_7.jpeg)

## Conclusions

## Asynchronous Distributed Actor-based Approach to Jaccard Similarity for Genome Comparisons

Youssef Elmougy, Akihiro Hayashi, Vivek Sarkar

Habanero Extreme Scale Software Research Lab Georgia Institute of Technology

Corresponding Author: <a href="mailto:yelmougy3@gatech.edu">yelmougy3@gatech.edu</a>

![](_page_58_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_58_Picture_5.jpeg)

ISC 2024 | MAY 12 – 16 | HAMBURG, GERMANY | #ISC24